1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 ESMELING BAHENA, Case No. 1:20-cv-01685-AWI-SAB (PC) Plaintiff, 10 ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S STIPULATION FOR REFERRAL TO 11 v. EARLY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE AND DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO SHOW RODRIGUEZ, et al., 12 CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT **BE IMPOSED** 13 Defendants. 14 (ECF No. 29) 15 16 Esmeling Bahena ("Plaintiff"), a state prisoner, is proceeding pro se and in forma 17 pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 18 On November 8, 2021, Plaintiff filed a stipulation for referral to early settlement 19 conference. (ECF No. 29.) On December 8, 2021, Defendants filed a response to Plaintiff's 20 stipulation. (ECF No. 32.) 21 Inasmuch as Defendants oppose Plaintiff's request for an early settlement conference 22 based on the affirmative defense of failure to exhaust the administrative remedies, Plaintiff's 23 stipulation to refer this case for early settlement conference shall be denied. 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28

Case 1:20-cv-01685-AWI-SAB Document 34 Filed 12/09/21 Page 2 of 2

Based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's stipulation to referral for early settlement conference is denied; and
- 2. Within **twenty** (20) days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall show cause why sanctions should not be imposed for improperly representing defense counsel's signature on the stipulation.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 9, 2021

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE